



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/608,781	10/608,781 06/27/2003		Manne Satyanarayana Reddy	U 014673-3	8114	
45776	7590	07/29/2005		EXAMINER		
		BORATORIES, INC	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K			
SEVENTH		RPORATE BLVD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BRIDGEWA	BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807-2862			1625		
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/200	DATE MAIL ED: 07/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	کیم						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/608,781	REDDY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Raymond Covington	1625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 44-46 and 48-56 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43,47 and 57-60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceedable ac	epted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/608,781

Art Unit: 1625

The rejection under 35 USC 112 has been rendered moot in light of applicant's cancellation of claims 61-63.

Upon reconsideration, the rejection under 35 USC 103 has been withdrawn.

NEW REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for omprazole, does not reasonably provide enablement for the preparation of all known sulfoxide compounds. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

It is suggested that the compounds be limited to products corresponding to the formula of in step a).

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled

Application/Control Number: 10/608,781

Art Unit: 1625

in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 57 lacks enablement by which amorphous esomeprazole produces a compound. It is noted that claim 47 from which claim 57 depends, produces the amorphous esomeprazole itself and does not recite a process for producing further compounds from the amorphous esomeprazole.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The term "substantially optically pure" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is not clear whether substantially constitutes an effective Amount or

some other amount. It includes 51%. Further, the term "pure" without limitation is a subjective term. What one considers pure may not meet the standard by another. The standard depends entirely on the user. It is recommended that a precise range of purity be incorporated into the claims.

The term "optically enriched" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "enriched" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. For example, optically enriched from what level of initial purity.

In step d) it is not clear which adduct is separated.

Claims 58-60 are rejected under 35. U.S.C. 1 12, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are self-conflicting because the claims are drawn to pharmaceutical compositions without a dosage limitation. Please note that a pharmaceutical composition by definition cannot be either ineffective or toxic.

Therefore a pharmaceutical composition without any dosage is self-conflicting. It is recommended that the term "therapeutically effective amount" be incorporated into the claims.

Claims 44-46 and 48-56 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Desay 05

Raymond Covington Examiner Art Unit 1625

RKC.